

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www wayto gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,283	06/05/2006	Mario Mainetti	UDL1p011	5687
28875 7590 09/17/2009 Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			EXAMINER	
			HECKERT, JASON MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

anita@zilkakotab.com erica@zilkakotab.com dottie@zilkakotab.com

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/501,283	MAINETTI, MARIO					
Examiner	Art Unit					
JASON HECKERT	1792					

	10/001,200	100 0112111, 100 0 00				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JASON HECKERT	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after 53% (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply with the set or extended period for reply with 1944. Any reply recisions after the mailing earned patient term adjustment, See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
- · · · · -						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
` <u> </u>	•					
4) Claim(s) 17-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	will from Consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
- ,,	·					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		* * *				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior 	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) Pager No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Am lication				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/501,283

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Examiner encourages the applicant to reread the specification, as there are
many small typographical errors present. The claims also contain typographical errors.
 For example, claims 18 reads –one said hanger—but should claim "one of said
hangers". Please revise the specification and claims such that there are no
typographical and syntax errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

 Claim 17, 21-23, 25-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Green, II et al (Green). Green discloses a method of removing adhesive labels from articles comprising a loading station 14 for transporting the article toward at least one Application/Control Number: 10/501,283

Art Unit: 1792

washing station 16, a washing station (16) with rotary nozzles that deliver pressurized and heated solvent to strip a label from the article and a device for reclamation of the stripping solution (step 72, col 5 line 4-56, col 7 line 55-col 8 line 5), a first drying apparatus 18(1), and a second drying apparatus 18(2) located in close proximity to an unloading station (figure 1). A conveyor 28 supports the articles. As seen from the figure 2B, the device is elongate. Green does not disclose cleaning hangers, however the device as disclosed reads on the structures claimed in the instant application. Furthermore, the object cleaned is considered to be the intended use of the apparatus. The manner in which an apparatus operates is not germane to the issue of patentability of the apparatus itself. Ex parte Wikdahl 10 USPQ 2d 1546, 1548 (BPAI 1989); Ex parte McCullough 7 USPQ 2d 1889, 1891 (BPAI 1988); In re Finsterwalder 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971): In re Casev 152 USPQ 235, 238 (CCPA 1967), Furthermore. apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does. Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc. 15 USPQ 2d 1525 (Fed. Cir. 1990); Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorf Licensing Ltd. 7 USPQ 2d 1222, 1224-1225 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-20, 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Green in view of Blattner et al. As evident from the disclosure of Green, a method and

Application/Control Number: 10/501,283 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

apparatus for cleaning articles comprising a conveying means, solvent spray, and drying are known in the prior art. However, Green does not disclose a conveying means that utilize a rotatable shaft with a helical groove. However, such a shaft, often called a screw or auger, is known in the art for specifically transporting hangers. Blattner shows a device for transporting hangers comprising a loading device 6 (figure 2) which comprises a rotatable shaft with a respective helical groove for engaging the hook of at least one hanger, wherein the rotation of the shaft moves the hangers towards a second conveying mechanism 1. There is a groove free portion 13 prior to the second conveying mechanism. Figure three shows that the depth and pitch of the groove can be altered in the loading device. Merely changing the direction of rotation is known to alter the direction of transfer with screw-like conveyers. Thus claim 24 does not further define structure over the prior art. It would have been obvious at the time of invention, and modify Green to include the loading device of Blattner, in order to transfer and convey hangers. Green even states that many loading mechanism are known in the art and can be used with his label stripping device (Green, col 3 lines 40-45).

6. Claims 29-31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green in view of Matkovich. Green does not disclose a rotatable support for hangers, or a locking means. Matkovich discloses locking means 24 and 25 that retain a hanger on a conveyor. Matkovich also discloses rotary elements 5 that rotate the hangers to face different positions. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify Green and include the rotary mechanism and locking mechanism of Matkovich, in order to secure the garment hanger and change its direction or position.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,283 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 8. 4,322,252 to Plumb discloses label removing means
- 9. 2001/0042375 to Jacobson discloses conveyor for garment hangers
- 10. 4.354,594 to Galloway discloses conveyor for garment hangers
- 11. 2005/0016820 to Beyer discloses conveyor for transporting garment hangers
- 12. 2003/0136804 to Gouldson discloses automatic means for handling hangers
- 13. 2003/0019891 to Hutterly discloses means for handling hangers
- 14. 6185831 to Yang discloses means for rotating hangers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON HECKERT whose telephone number is (571)272-2702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,283 Page 6

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792

JMH